1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NORTHERN DISTRI	ANKRUPTCY COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION
11 12		Denlementary Cose No. 10, 20088 (DM)
	In re:	Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)
13	PG&E CORPORATION,	Chapter 11
14	,	(Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)
15 16	- and - PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,	REORGANIZED DEBTORS' NINETIETH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY CLAIMS)
17	Debtors.	Response Deadline:
18	☐ Affects PG&E Corporation	July 14, 2021, 4:00 p.m. (PT)
19	☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company  ☑ Affects both Debtors	Hearing Information If Timely Response Made: Date: July 28, 2021
20	* All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No.	Time: 10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time)
	19-30088 (DM).	Place: (Telephonic Appearances Only) United States Bankruptcy Court
21		Courtroom 17, 16th Floor
22		San Francisco, CA 94102
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
~		

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 10799 Filed: 06/17/21 Entered: 06/17/21 16:39:39 Page 1 of 8

## 

### 

### Case: 19-30088 Doc# 10799 Filed: 06/17/21 Entered: 06/17/21 16:39:39 Page 2

# TO: (A) THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE; (B) THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE; (C) THE AFFECTED CLAIMANTS; AND (D) OTHER PARTIES ENTITLED TO NOTICE:

PG&E Corporation ("PG&E Corp.") and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the "Utility"), as debtors and reorganized debtors (collectively, "PG&E" or the "Debtors" or as reorganized pursuant to the Plan (as defined below), the "Reorganized Debtors") in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases") hereby submit this Ninetieth Omnibus Objection (the "Objection") to the Proofs of Claim (as defined below) identified in the column headed "Claims To Be Disallowed and Expunged" on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto.

#### I. JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; the *Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*, General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.); and Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the "Bankruptcy Local Rules"). This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for the relief requested are section 502 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (collectively, the "Bankruptcy Rules").

#### II. BACKGROUND

On January 29, 2019 (the "**Petition Date**"), the Debtors commenced with the Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Prior to the Effective Date (as defined below), the Debtors continued to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner was appointed in either of the Chapter 11 Cases. The Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered for procedural purposes only pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

11 Cases and information regarding the Debtors' businesses and capital structure is set forth in the *Amended Declaration of Jason P. Wells in Support of the First Day Motions and Related Relief* [Docket No. 263].

Additional information regarding the circumstances leading to the commencement of the Chapter

1 On July 1, 2019, the Court entered the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b)(9) and 105(a), 2 Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 3003(c)(3), 5005, and 9007, and L.B.R. 3003-1 (I) Establishing Deadline for 3 Filing Proofs of Claim, (II) Establishing the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof, and (III) Approving Procedures for Providing Notice of Bar Date and Other Information to All Creditors and Potential 4 Creditors [Docket No. 2806] (the "Bar Date Order"). The Bar Date Order set the deadline to file all 5 6 proofs of claim (each, a "Proof of Claim") in respect of any prepetition claim (as defined in section 7 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code), including all claims of Fire Claimants (as defined therein), Wildfire 8 Subrogation Claimants (as defined therein), Governmental Units (as defined in section 101(27) of the 9 Bankruptcy Code), and Customers, and for the avoidance of doubt, including all secured claims and priority claims, against either of the Debtors as October 21, 2019 at 5:00 p.m. Pacific Time (the "Bar 10 11 The Bar Date later was extended solely with respect to unfiled, non-governmental Fire Claimants to December 31, 2019 [Docket No. 4672]<sup>1</sup>; and subsequently with respect to certain claimants 12 13 that purchased or acquired the Debtors' publicly held debt and equity securities and may have claims

By Order dated June 20, 2020 [Dkt. No. 8053], the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the *Debtors'* and Shareholder Proponents' Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Dated June 19, 2020 (as may be further modified, amended or supplemented from time to time, and together with any exhibits or schedules thereto, the "Plan"). The Effective Date of the Plan occurred on July 1, 2020 (the "Effective Date"). See Dkt. No. 8252.

against the Debtors for rescission or damages to April 16, 2020 [Docket No. 5943].

#### III. RELIEF REQUESTED

The Reorganized Debtors file this Objection, pursuant to section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d)(5), Bankruptcy Local Rule 3007-1, and the *Order Approving (A) Procedures for Filing Omnibus Objections to Claims and (B) the Form and Manner of the Notice of Omnibus Objections*, dated June 30, 2020 [Docket No. 8228] (the "Omnibus Objections Procedures Order"), seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging Proofs of Claim for which the Reorganized Debtors are not liable (the "No Liability Claims"). The No Liability Claims are identified on

28

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The claims of Fire Claimants will be administered through the Fire Victim Trust and the claims of Wildfire Subrogation Claimants through the Subrogation Wildfire Trust in accordance with the Plan.

**Exhibit 1**, in the columns headed "Claims To Be Disallowed and Expunged." **Exhibit 1** also specifically identifies in the "Basis for Objection" that the No Liability Claims are classified as:

- (1) "No Liability Subcontractor Claims," which all relate to Proofs of Claim asserted against the Debtors for amounts incurred by subcontractors indirectly retained by the Debtors. After reviewing their books and records and the information submitted with the Proofs of Claim, the Reorganized Debtors have determined that each of the No Liability Subcontractor Claims is attributable to the relevant general contractor on each project. The Reorganized Debtors determined this either from the face of the Proof of Claim listing the general contractor or by follow-up correspondence with the Claimant. In all cases, the Reorganized Debtors took the additional step of confirming that if the general contractor had filed a Claim, it had already been satisfied, such that any payment on account of the No Liability Subcontractor Claims would be duplicative. The Reorganized Debtors thus do not have any direct liability for the No Liability Subcontractor Claims.
- (2) "Protective Claims." These are proofs of claim that assert protective, unliquidated claims potentially owing post-petition. The Reorganized Debtors have reviewed their books and records and have determined that they have no known liability as of the Petition Date with respect to the Protective Claims. Approval of the relief requested herein will not prejudice the holders of any of the Protective Claims because (a) the Claimants retain all non-bankruptcy remedies that would have existed had these Chapter 11 Cases not been filed and (b) the Debtors commit that they will not raise any bankruptcy defenses to future assertion of claims based on the alleged post-petition failure of the Reorganized Debtors to perform or honor their obligations relating to such claims.
- (3) "Rule 20A Claims." These Claims are based on certain credits earned by cities or counties within the Debtors' service area as part of a program under the California Public Utilities Commission for placing overhead electric facilities underground. These credits accumulate annually and are calculated by the Debtors based on, among other things, the amount of the city or county's electric lines as a percentage of the lines within the Debtors' overall service area. Once a city or county has accumulated enough credits, it may then seek to draw from these credits to fund an undergrounding project that is shown to be in the public interest. Pursuant to the first-day order allowing the Debtors to continue their customer programs, the Debtors have maintained the Rule 20A program throughout the

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 10799 Filed: 06/17/21 Entered: 06/17/21 16:39:39 Page 4

Chapter 11 Cases. However, these Rule 20A credits are not deposits or prepayments, and the Debtors have no liability to the Rule 20A Claimants for these credits. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors have determined they are not liable for these amounts and the corresponding Proofs of Claim should be disallowed and expunged. For the avoidance of doubt, with respect to all of the Rule 20A Claims, the Reorganized Debtors will continue to honor the Claimants' participation in the Rule 20A program in the ordinary course as if the Chapter 11 Cases had not been commenced.<sup>2</sup>

(4) "Settlement No Liability," referring to a Claim where the Debtors entered into a settlement agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") with the Claimant prior to the Petition Date that provides for installment payments to the Claimant. All installment payments due prior to the Petition Date were paid prior to the Chapter 11 Cases, and the Debtors continued make payments as they came due under the Settlement Agreement during the Chapter 11 Cases. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors do not have any liability with respect to this Claim, beyond making the future installment payments as provided in the Settlement Agreement. For the avoidance of doubt, the Reorganized Debtors will continue to make such payments pursuant to the Settlement Agreement in the ordinary course as if the Chapter 11 Cases had not been commenced.

As set forth in the letter attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**, which is being sent to the holder of the Settlement No Liability Proof of Claim along with the individualized notice of this Objection, the Reorganized Debtors view the relief requested herein as purely administrative in nature and the expungement of the Settlement No Liability Proof of Claim from the Bankruptcy Court claims register does not affect the holder's underlying rights pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.

(5) "Miscellaneous No Liability Claims." These are Proofs of Claim where the Reorganized Debtors, after conducting a thorough review of the Proof of Claim, concluded that there is no basis for liability. The Reorganized Debtors' review of each Proof of Claim consisted of (i) a review of the Debtors' books and records, and (ii) a review of information submitted by the Claimant in connection with the respective Proof of Claim. In each instance, the Reorganized Debtors determined that the claim

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Claim nos. 79374, 79483, and 87909 also request amounts for franchise fees, for which the Reorganized Debtors have satisfied all pre-petition amounts and continue to pay as due in the ordinary course pursuant to the first-day order authorizing the payment of pre-petition taxes [Docket No. 698]. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors are not liable for any amounts asserted in the Rule 20A Claims.

1
 2
 3

3

4

5

### IV

## 6

7 8

9

11

1213

14

1516

. .

### 17 18

19

20

2122

23

2425

2526

27

28

was not valid, and the review discovered no basis for the claim. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors have determined they are not liable for these amounts and the corresponding Proofs of Claim should be disallowed and expunged.

#### IV. ARGUMENT

#### A. The No Liability Claims Should be Disallowed and Expunged

The Omnibus Objections Procedures Order supplemented Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) to permit the Reorganized Debtors to file objections to more than one claim if "[t]he claims seek recovery of amounts for which the Debtors are not liable." Omnibus Objections Procedures Order,  $\P 2(C)(iii)$ . Bankruptcy Rule 3007(e) requires that an omnibus objection must list the claimants alphabetically and by cross-reference to claim numbers. The Reorganized Debtors and their professionals have reviewed each of the No Liability Claims identified on **Exhibit 1** and have determined each represents a Proof of Claim for which the Reorganized Debtors do not have any liability.

Each of the Claimants is listed alphabetically, and the claim number and amount are identified in accordance with Bankruptcy Rule 3007(e). Furthermore, in accordance with the Omnibus Objections Procedures Order, the Reorganized Debtors have sent individualized notices to the holders of each of the No Liability Claims.

#### B. The Claimants Bear the Burden of Proof

A filed proof of claim is "deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects." 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).<sup>3</sup> Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, however, provides in relevant part that a claim may not be allowed if "such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1). Once the objector raises "facts tending to defeat the claim by probative force equal to that of the allegations of the proofs of claim themselves,"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Upon the Reorganized Debtors' request, the deadline under Section 7.1 of the Plan for the Reorganized Debtors to bring objections to Claims initially was extended through and including June 26, 2021 (except for Claims of the United States, which deadline was extended to March 31, 2021) [Docket No. 9563]. That deadline has been further extended through December 23, 2021, except for Claims of the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, which deadline was extended to September 30, 2021, without prejudice to the right of the Reorganized Debtors seek further extensions thereof [Docket No. 10494]. The deadline with respect to Claims of the United States has been further extended by stipulation and order [Docket Nos. 10459 and 10463].

1 Wright v. Holm (In re Holm), 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir. 1991), quoting 3 L. King, Collier on 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Bankruptcy § 502.02 at 502-22 (15th ed. 1991), then "the burden reverts to the claimant to prove the validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence," Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage) 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995) (quoting In re Allegheny Int'l, Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992)), aff'd without opinion 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996). "[T]he ultimate burden of persuasion is always on the claimant." Holm, 931 F.2d at 623 (quoting King, Collier on Bankruptcy); see also Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc., 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000); Spencer v. Pugh (In re Pugh), 157 B.R. 898, 901 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1993); In re Fidelity Holding Co., 837 F.2d 696, 698 (5th Cir. 1988).

As set forth above, the Reorganized Debtors submit that the No Liability Claims do not represent a current right to payment and, therefore, should be disallowed and expunged in their entirety. If any Claimant believes that a No Liability Claim is valid, it must present affirmative evidence demonstrating the validity of that claim.

#### V. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

The Reorganized Debtors hereby reserve the right to object, as applicable, in the future to any of the Proofs of Claim listed in this Objection on any ground, and to amend, modify, or supplement this Objection to the extent an objection to a claim is not granted, and to file other objections to any proofs of claims filed in these cases, including, without limitation, objections as to the amounts asserted therein, or any other claims (filed or not) against the Debtors, regardless of whether such claims are subject to this Objection. A separate notice and hearing will be scheduled for any such objections. Should the grounds of objection specified herein be overruled, wholly or in part, the Reorganized Debtors reserve the right to object to the No Liability Claims on any other grounds that the Reorganized Debtors may discover or deem appropriate.

#### VI. NOTICE

Notice of this Objection will be provided to (i) holders of the No Liability Claims; (ii) the Office of the U.S. Trustee for Region 17 (Attn: Andrew R. Vara, Esq. and Timothy Laffredi, Esq.); (iii) all counsel and parties receiving electronic notice through the Court's electronic case filing system; and (iv) those persons who have formally appeared in these Chapter 11 Cases and requested service pursuant

se: 19-30088 Doc# 10799 Filed: 06/17/21 Entered: 06/17/21 16:39:39 Page 7

to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Reorganized Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Reorganized Debtors to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting (i) the relief requested herein as a sound exercise of the Reorganized Debtors' business judgment and in the best interests of their estates, creditors, shareholders, and all other parties' interests, and (ii) such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

Dated: June 17, 2021 KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP

By: <u>/s/ Thomas B. Rupp</u>
Thomas B. Rupp

Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 10799 Filed: 06/17/21 Entered: 06/17/21 16:39:39 Page 8

of 8